



PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
[The Majlis](http://TheMajlis.co.za)

26 Jamaadith Thaani 1446 – 28 December 2024

SWEEPING THE ROT UNDER THE CARPET IS NOT THE WAY – IT IS NOT THE SOLUTION

A Brother writes:

“Regarding the recent article of *The Majlis* on the alleged abuses involving the trustees of the Hilaal Musjid in Durban, the article below is doing rounds:

1. Is it permissible to put an article like this in public without any proof regarding the allegations?
2. Although no names are mentioned, some of the descriptions are so clear you might as well name the person. With such a description of individuals is it not equivalent to naming them and is it permissible and proper as per the article?
3. The article makes mention of a response from the masjid committee. Shouldn't the author shown us the response and furthermore since it is anonymous we have no way of contacting the author for any queries we may have?
4. This is a common thing that happens of people writing anonymously and others just forwarding!
5. Can the actions of the person who fired a shot and walking into the masjid with a gun be condoned in anyway. The parking issue and the state of the masjid trustees in most masjids is a reality and they have no back bone. But is it acceptable in any way for a mussalee to act in such a manner?

I saw a discussion where ulama where saying it is not right to forward this message without proof or some investigation and also regarding that it is an anonymous article which is more reason for it not to be circulated. Another Alim disagreed and said that such articles can be forwarded so as warn the public. But I find that confusing as it could open doors of fitna hence this query. Which group from the two is correct according to sharia? Kindly provide naseehat for my benefit as the above matters are deeply disturbing to me.”

(End of Letter)

OUR COMMENT

Despite the author of the information being anonymous, it is factual. If not, they should refute it as well as the allegations made by the person. But, while they are not refuting the claims, they introduce arguments which favour sweeping under the carpet such issues which are of extreme

importance. All five points listed above are red herring diversions – to divert from the rot in a bid to save the ‘reputations’ of the culprits and scoundrels who are adept in only sweeping rot under the carpet. Never do they institute measures nor take precautions in terms of the Shariah to prevent abuses, especially of the nature stated in the allegations against the trustees, the tablighis and the teacher.

Children are being sexually abused, but the trustees and others are silent about it. The emphasis is on sweeping the rot under the carpet. This is a grave disease from which men in authority such as trustees and molvis are suffering. When the rot is brought to their notice, they become stupidly vindictive and insist on silence, ‘proof’ and sweeping the filth under the carpet to save the ‘reputation’ of the scoundrels as if they do have any valid and honourable reputation.

The trustees and others are aware of the reality. They know that the allegations are true. But no action was taken nor do they contemplate taking action. As long as the ‘reputation’ of their faasq-faajir scoundrel teacher is protected, they are not concerned about the lasting moral and emotional damage they are causing the children whose evil parents are culpable and complicit in the ruin of their children’s morality by satanically allowing their children to sleep out of the house under the satanic supervision of a satanic molvi.

There is an imperative need to bring into the public domain these kinds of abuses consisting of sexual misdemeanours perpetrated by people who are supposed to be Deeni personnel. The villainy of molvis sexually involved in zina activities with pupils and apas has attained endemic proportions. This disease is not a rare phenomenon. It is happening much and often in deeni institutions. Molvis are seducing the girls under their supervision. When the filth is exposed, the scoundrel trustees demand ‘proof’. They want proof for something of which they are fully aware, but which they have persistently swept under the carpet until the point of explosion has been reached.

Proof is required by the Qadhi for securing conviction and sentence. It is not a requisite for curbing and preventing *fitnah*. The trustees of the Musjid and others of like thinking should examine their intentions and motives for their vindictive and baseless disagreement with the exposure of the filth.

Sex maniacs should never be allowed to teach children. While the intention of the exposure is not to disgrace the criminals, it is to jolt those in charge into understanding the abuse of trust in which they are complicit. They must understand that all programmes and out-of-home activities organized, and in which children are involved, are inspired by Iblees notwithstanding the deeni hue with which these programmes are painted. They are satanic and morally ruinous. The deen is used for the gratification of the inordinate lusts of scoundrels displaying themselves as deeni personnel. They are bereft of even a vestige of *Khauf* for Allah Ta’ala.

Those miserable scoundrels who prey on their female students and on small children are like atheists. They do not believe that Allah Ta’ala is watching them and their scandalous shenanigans. Now when a measure is adopted to bring out the rot from under the carpet, the scoundrel trustees and others of their ilk rush to let loose red herrings to obnoxiously obfuscate the reality of the disgraceful conduct of their underlings.

They should understand well that sweeping rot under the carpet is not the way. It is not the solution. It will be exposed regardless of the absence of the kind of ‘proof’ they seek to prevent the rot from being exposed.